

# **Evaluation Matrix** Process







# How is TxDOT evaluating alternatives?

The evaluation process is summarized in the following steps:

- Develop evaluation criteria based off the study goals, stakeholder feedback and previous public involvement. Note: the preliminary criteria could be expanded as the study progresses.
- Gather data to compare alternatives qualitatively or quantitatively to the no build/leave I-345 as-is.
- Present a summary evaluation matrix.
- Iterate based on public input.
- Identify a recommended alternative that best achieves the study goals and objectives.
- Summarize the process in the feasibility study report.

See the following for more information regarding the evaluation matrix process throughout the I-345 Feasibility Study. The following categories were identified as the initial basis (criteria) for evaluating the alternatives:

- Mobility
- Connectivity
- Sustainability
- Economic Development
- Cost

### **INTERSTATE 345 (I-345)** From I-30 to Woodall Rodgers Freeway (Spur 366)

### **Evaluation Matrix Introduction**



## What is an evaluation matrix?

**An evaluation matrix** is a tool used to review alternatives and objectively compare them to the no build/leave I-345 as-is alternative according to various evaluation criteria. The comparisons are used to identify a recommended alternative.

#### **Definitions:**

Qualitative - Relating to, measuring, or measured by the quality of something rather than its quantity.

Quantitative - Relating to, measuring, or measured by the quantity of something rather than its quality.



# What criteria rating scale was used?

The criteria rating scale used in this comparative evaluation of alternatives includes five levels of degree called Harvey balls. Harvey balls are small pie charts or ideograms used to visualize information commonly used for comparison. Harvey balls have been used to depict what degree a specific item meets the requirements of a criterion. The following are the five types of Harvey balls used in the I-345 alternative evaluation summary table:



Full circle signifies exemplary, or highly meets the criteria



Three quarters circle signifies good, or mostly meets the criteria



Half circle signifies adequate, or neutral/ no change



Quarter circle represents inadequate, or sometimes meets the criteria



Empty circle represents poor performance, or does not meet the criteria

June 2021 CSJ: 0092-14-094







Preliminary, Subject to Change

### **INTERSTATE 345 (I-345)** From I-30 to Woodall Rodgers Freeway (Spur 366)

### **Evaluation Matrix Process**





#### **CityMAP Scenarios** TxDOT Study Completed 2016

Agency and Stakeholder Coordination (City of Dallas, NCTCOG, DART)

#### I-345 Feasibility Study Public Meeting Series #1 Dec. 2019

Agency and Stakeholder Coordination (City of Dallas, NCTCOG, DART) and Listening Sessions

#### **Preliminary Alternatives & Analysis**

Agency and Stakeholder Coordination (City of Dallas, NCTCOG, DART)

I-345 Feasibility Study Public Meeting Series #2

Agency and Stakeholder Coordination (City of Dallas, NCTCOG, DART) and Listening Sessions

**June 2021** CSJ: 0092-14-094

#### WE ARE HERE









The Depressed, Elevated and Hybrid alternatives maintain the 

and safety.

| Connectivity         | Access between freeways                    | Freeway to freeway connections   |       |        |   |      |        | The Depressed, Elevated and Hybrid alternatives maintain the<br>I-345 freeway system between I-30 and Woodall Rodgers<br>Freeway (Spur 366). The Removal alternative severs the freeway<br>connection.   |
|----------------------|--|--|-------|--------|---|------|--------|--|
|                      | Access between freeways<br>and local roads | Freeway to local road connections  |       |        |   |      |        | I-345 has 16 existing access points (ramps). The Depressed<br>alternative maintains 13 of the 16 access points. The Removal<br>alternative severs the connection of I-345 to local roads. The<br>Elevated alternative maintains 7 and the Hybrid alternative<br>maintains 9 of the 16 access points.   |
|                      | Access between local roads                 | Local road connections   |       |        |   |      |        | In all proposed build alternatives, no new connections are<br>proposed, however, the Taylor Street connection is severed. The<br>Depressed alternative, in addition to Taylor Street, severs<br>Canton Street and Good Latimer Expressway. The Removal<br>alternative, in addition to Taylor Street, severs Canton Street.   |
|                      | Bicycle/Pedestrian                         | Improve bicycle/pedestrian facility connections  |       |        |   |      |        | All proposed build alternatives improve bicycle and pedestrian<br>connections along proposed cross streets or frontage roads<br>where applicable. The Depressed alternative does not maintain<br>a connection across Good Latimer Expressway on the southern<br>end of the study limits.   |
| Sustainability       | Agency Coordination                        | Respond to City of Dallas design guidance and DART D2 future plans   |       |        |   |      |        | The alternatives were coordinated with the City of Dallas,<br>NCTCOG and DART. The Hybrid alternative is the only proposed<br>build alternative that meets all of the criteria received to date.   |
|                      | Right of Way (ROW)*                        | Avoid additional ROW* and displacements  | N/A** |        |   |      |        | All proposed build alternatives avoid additional ROW and would not result in any displacements.  |
|                      | Parks outside State ROW                    | Avoid impacts to parks, recreational areas,<br>and public usage facilities like parking,<br>including existing and future amenities,<br>outside existing State ROW | N/A   |        |   |      |        | No additional ROW would be required and there would be no impacts to parks or recreational areas located outside of State ROW.   |
|                      | Parks and public usage inside State ROW    | Avoid impacts to parks, recreational areas,<br>and public usage facilities like parking,<br>including existing and future amenities<br>within existing State ROW   | N/A   |        |   |      |        | The Elevated alternative would not result in permanent impacts<br>to the existing public facilities within State ROW. The Depressed,<br>Removal and Hybrid alternatives would result in permanent<br>impacts to public facilities within the State ROW, including Julius<br>Schepps Park, Bark Park Central, and Carpenter Park extension<br>and existing parking lots.  |
|                      | Communities                                | Minimize impacts to existing adjacent communities (Downtown/Deep Ellum)  |       |        |   |      |        | The No Build/Leave I-345 As-Is alternative is perceived as a<br>barrier between Downtown and Deep Ellum. The Depressed and<br>Hybrid alternatives would depress the mainlanes and improve<br>the local road connections at grade, including adjacent bicycle<br>and pedestrian accommodations. The Removal alternative<br>replaces the existing highway with local streets, including<br>adjacent bicycle and pedestrian accommodations. The Elevated<br>alternative would be similar to the No Build/Leave I-345 As-Is<br>alternative, but when reconstructed would allow for better<br>connectivity under the mainlanes, including bicycle and<br>pedestrian accommodations.   |
|                      |  | Minimize impacts to existing communities beyond downtown   |       |        |   |      |        | The No Build/Leave I-345 As-Is, Depressed, Elevated and<br>Hybrid alternatives maintain the connection from South Dallas to<br>North Dallas. The Removal alternative removes the connection<br>and the communities would have to adjust travel patterns to<br>alternate routes.  |
|                      | Sustainable Design                         | Minimize maintenance costs through<br>sustainable design elements  |       |        |   |      |        | The No Build/Leave I-345 As-Is alternative requires significant maintenance to extend the life of the existing structure. The Removal alternative would have the least maintenance costs being an at-grade solution but will increase maintenance on local roads due to the increase in traffic volumes on the local roads. The Elevated alternative would have maintenance costs to inspect and repair any structural deficiencies over time. The Depressed and Hybrid alternatives could have significant maintenance costs to accommodate current DART D2, which requires storm water detention and a pump station. Any potential capping could also add maintenance costs dependent on the type of proposed amenities (TBD). |
|                      | Potential Surplus ROW                      | Amount of potential surplus ROW that<br>could result in development<br>(to be determined) (in acres)   | N/A   |        |   |      |        | All of the proposed build alternatives have potential for surplus ROW.   |
| Economic Development | Property Values Impacts                    | Property values at buildout due to potential for economic development (2020 dollars)   |       |        |   |      |        | All of the proposed build alternatives have potential to increase<br>property values at buildout; however, increased property values<br>could result in higher property taxes which may negatively affect<br>some residents and businesses.  |
|                      | Property Tax Revenue<br>Impacts            | Annual incremental property tax revenue<br>at buildout (2020 dollars)  |       |        |   |      |        | All of the proposed build alternatives have potential to result in<br>annual incremental property tax revenue at buildout; however<br>increased property taxes could negatively affect some residents<br>and businesses.   |
|                      | Potential Cap Locations                    | Provides opportunity for potential development of parks over freeway   |       |        |   |      |        | Ratings include both surplus ROW and potential development on top of the freeway.  |
|                      |  | Preliminary, approximate construction  | N/A   | \$\$\$ | ¢ | \$\$ | \$\$\$ | There is significant cost associated with the Depressed and Hybrid alternatives. The higher cost is associated with  |

### **INTERSTATE 345 (I-345)**

Transit

known future proposed transit projects





