## Texas Department of Transportation

## SEGMENT ANALYSIS MATRIX



US 380 FROM COIT ROAD TO FM 1827
CSJs: 0135-02-065, 0135-03-053, AND 0135-15-002
*All references to "with Spur 399 Extension connection" refer to impacts that would be caused should the separate Spur 399 Extension project be constructed.

|  | SCREENING/ <br> EVALUATION CATEGORY | SEGMENT A \& SEGMENT A SHIFT* <br> (MCKINNEY-WEST) <br> COIT ROAD TO CR 161/RIDGE ROAD <br> The Segment A shift provides for an alternative design near University <br> Drive and future US 380 intersection to better accommodate future developments. <br> developments | SEGMENT B <br> (PROSPER - FURTHEST WEST) COIT ROAD TO CR 161/RIDGE ROAD | SEGMENT E CR 161/RIDGE ROAD TO SH 5 COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIV | SEGMENT C (MCKINNEY FURTHEST EAST) SH 5 TO FM 1827 | SEGMENT D <br> (MCKINNEY - EAST) <br> SH 5 TO FM 1827 | NO-BUILD ALTERNATIVE COIT ROAD TO FM 1827 | KEY TAKEAWAYS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Segment Length along Centerline (miles) | 5.5 miles | 4.5 miles | 5.6 miles | 4.7 miles | 4.9 miles | 0 miles | Segment A is 1 mile longer than Segment B. Segment C is 0.2 miles longer than Segment D. |
|  | Total Bridge Length (miles) | 3.31 miles | 4.91 miles | 12.38 miles | 7.23 miles | 14.69 miles | 0 miles | Segment B includes 1.6 miles more bridge section than Segment A. Segment D includes 7.46 miles more bridge section than Segment C. <br> Bridge sections include mainlanes, frontage roads, ramps, direct connectors, cross streets, and turnarounds. |
|  | Number of New Grade-Separated Interchanges | 6 new interchanges | 5 new interchanges | 9 new interchanges | without Spur 399 Ext.interchange 4 new interchanges <br> with Spur 399 Ext. interchange 5 new interchanges | without Spur 399 Ext. interchange 2 new interchanges <br> with Spur 399 Ext. interchanse 4 new interchanges | No new grade-separated interchanges | Segment A would require 1 more grade-separated interchange than Segment B. Segment C would require more grade-separated interchanges than Segment D. <br> Interchange locations are coordinated with local governments |
| ㅍ | Number of Major Utility Conflicts <br> Estimated Cost to Relocate and Accommodate Utilities in Millions (M) <br> All utilities listed are within proposed project ROW (PROW). If listed as longitudinal or skewed crossing, it was assumed to be a relocation | 7 potential major utility conflicts <br> 48" NTMWD Waterline (longitudinal - would require complete relocation of portion within PROW) 30"-66" McKinney Waterline (partial longitudinal/partial crossing) <br> 3 separate 36" McKinney Waterlines (1 crossing/2 longitudinal) <br> 72" Irving Waterline (crossing) <br> McKinney University water distribution lines <br> Cost for relocating major and minor utilities is estimated to be $\mathbf{\$ 7 4 . 7 \mathrm { M }}$ | 2 potential major utility confilicts <br> 48" NTMWD Waterline <br> 72" Irving Waterline <br> Cost for relocating major and minor utilities is estimated to be $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 . 4 M}$ | 7 potential major utility conflicts <br> 36" McKinney Waterlines (2 perpendicular crossings) <br> 36" McKinney Wastewater lines (1 skewed crossing/1 perpendicular crossing) 48" Melissa Wastewater line (1 perpendicular crossing) Transmission Line (2 crossings) <br> Cost for relocating major and minor utilities is estimated to be \$23.1M | 2 potential major utility conflicts <br> 72" Irving Waterline (crossing) 84" NTMWD Waterline (crossing/under construction) <br> Cost for relocating major and minor utilities is estimated to be \$30M | 6 potential major utility conflicts <br> 72" Irving Waterline (crossing) 84" NTMWD Waterline <br> (crossing/under construction) <br> 48" Melissa Wastewater line (2 cross street crossings) <br> 2" NTMWD Waterline (crossing) <br> 48" NTMWD Wastewater line (cross street crossing) <br> 36" McKinney Waterline (cross street crossing) <br> Cost for relocating major and minor utilities is estimated to be $\mathbf{\$ 7 3 M}$ | No cost to relocate any utilities | Utility impacts are much more substantial and costly for Segment A than Segment B, as well as more costly for Segment D than Segment C. <br> Major utility conflicts include existing transmission lines and power, electric, water, and wastewater utilities that are 36 " or larger in diameter. <br> At least two years of design and construction would be required for all Build Alternatives prior to taking existing utilities out of service. |

