



Finding of No Significant Impact for a FHWA Project

Proposed Action: I-35E/US 67 Project

Project Limits: I-35E from US 67 to I-30 and US 67 from I-20 to I-35E

City: Dallas **County:** Dallas **State:** Texas

TxDOT CSJ(s): 0442-02-088, 0196-03-269, 0261-03-030

In accordance with 23 CFR Section 771.119 and Section 771.121, the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) has determined that the I-35E/US 67 Project will not have a significant impact on the human or natural environment.

This Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Preferred Alternative is based on the final Environmental Assessment dated December 2016 and the entire project record. This decision documents the selection of Build Alternative presented in the final EA as the Preferred Alternative, which is described as:

The improvements to I-35E between US 67 and I-30 and to US 67 between I-20 and I-35E. The proposed project would include the following improvements.

- a) I-35E between Colorado Blvd. and Reunion Blvd.: Convert two reversible HOV lanes under construction to two reversible non-tolled express lanes.
- b) I-35E between the US 67 and Colorado Blvd.: Full reconstruction of the freeway and frontage roads and associated ramp modifications and intersection improvements to increase the mainlanes from eight to 10 lanes, include two reversible non-tolled express lanes, and increase the number of frontage road lanes from four to four to six lanes.
- c) US 67 from I-20 to I-35E: Partial reconstruction to widen the mainlanes from four to six lanes and ramp modifications, change the existing two concurrent HOV lanes to one reversible non-tolled express lane within the existing median, and ramp modifications.
- d) Sidewalks and shared use lanes to accommodate vehicles and bicyclists would be implemented along those frontage roads where reconstruction is proposed.
- e) Dedicated bicycle lanes and sidewalks would be implemented at cross-streets proposed for reconstruction.

A Notice of Availability of the draft EA was issued on August 6, 2016.

A public hearing for this project was held on September 6, 2016.

The draft EA was revised to reflect results of the historic resources coordination completed by TxDOT and the THC/SHPO on September 8, 2016.

Public Hearing Documentation has been prepared and is available for review on request.

The final EA and reports contained in the file of record have been independently evaluated by TxDOT and determined to adequately and accurately discuss the need, purpose, alternatives, environmental issues, impacts of the proposed project, and appropriate mitigation measures. These documents provide sufficient evidence and analysis for determining that preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement is not required. These documents are incorporated by reference into this decisional document.

Based upon TxDOT's review and consideration of the analysis and evaluation contained in the EA for this project, and after careful consideration of all social, economic, and environmental factors, including input



Finding of No Significant Impact for a FHWA Project

from the public involvement process, TxDOT hereby issues this Finding of No Significant Impact for the I-35E/US 67 Project consisting of improvements to I-35E from US 67 to I-30 and US 67 from I-20 to I-35E.

TxDOT will ensure adherence and completion of all project commitments described in the final EA (December 2016), Section 8.0, TxDOT will ensure that any and all local, state, or federal permit requirements and conditions are met and otherwise complied with.

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried-out by TxDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 16, 2014, and executed by FHWA and TxDOT.

TxDOT Environmental Affairs Division Director

12/27/16

Date



Environmental Document Review Checklist

Project Name: I-35E / US 67		<input type="checkbox"/> State <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal - Assigned <input type="checkbox"/> Federal - Not Assigned		
CSJ No.: 0442-02-088	Federal-Aid No. (if applicable):	Date of Administrative Completeness: July 27, 2016		
District / County: Dallas / Dallas		Project Sponsor: TxDOT		
Document Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EA <input type="checkbox"/> EIS		Document Stage: <input type="checkbox"/> Draft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Final		
Preparer Identify	Preparer check if content is:		Core Team check if content is:	Major Required Content
Section in Document	Included	Not Applicable	Acceptable	
Cover	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cover Sheet
Cover	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Follows TxDOT/FHWA approved format
Cover	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Includes 23 U.S.C. 327 assignment language
Cover	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Title including any cooperating agencies
N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Legal citations, including 49 USC 303 for Section 4(f) if applicable
N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Signature block and contacts (only required for EIS)
N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Abstract (only required for EIS)
N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROD (for Final Environmental Impact Statement)
ES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Executive Summary (optional for EA, but required for EIS)
Cover	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Includes 23 U.S.C. 327 assignment language
ES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Identify lead agency under NEPA
ES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Summary of major conclusions (table recommended)
TOC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Table of Contents: include list of tables figures and appendices
Acronyms	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	List of Acronyms
Sect 3.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Proposed Project
Sect 1.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Introduction: Brief introduction including appropriate figures
Sect 1.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Existing Facility Description
Sect 3.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project Description
Sect 3.1.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Logical termini and independent utility
Sect 3.1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Includes location, length, type of improvements, ROW / Easements needed
Sect 2.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Purpose and Need
Sect 2.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Purpose statements
Sect 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Need statements
Sect 3.1.4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Planning and Programming Status
Sect 3.1.4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Consistent with current Plan and Program (required for Final Environmental Document)
Sect 3.1.4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference to plan sheet in appendix (required for Final Environmental Document)
Sect 3.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternatives
Sect 3.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Discussion of build alternative(s)
Sect 3.1.4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Estimated cost information
Sect 3.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No-build alternative
Sect 3.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Identification of preferred alternative (required for Final Environmental Document)
Sect 3.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Locally preferred alternative, if one has been identified
N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternatives Considered But Eliminated From Further Consideration
Sect 8.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures (see page 2 for further information)
Sect 7.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Comments and Coordination (Public Involvement and Agency Coordination)
Sect 8.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permits and Approvals Needed
Sect 8.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Commitments (table recommended)
N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	List of Preparers (EIS only)
N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Distribution List (EIS only)
N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	References Cited
Comments:				



Environmental Document Review Checklist

Preparer Identify	Preparer check if content is:			Core Team check if content is:	Affected Environment / Impacts / Avoidance, Minimization and Mitigation Measures
	Included	Subject of Technical Report	Not Applicable		
Summarize investigation outcomes and project effects as appropriate for each topic. Summary may be presented in table format with expanded discussions of topics with project effects. Address applicable avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures for each topic.					
S. 4.2.1.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Right of way/Displacements
Sect 4.4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Land Use
Sect. 4.4.4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Farmlands
Sect 4.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Community Impacts (EJ, LEP, ROW/Displacements)
Sect 3.1.3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Utilities/Emergency Services
Sect 3.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities
S. 4.2.1.6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Visual/Aesthetics
Sect 4.5.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Historic Resources
Sect 4.5.2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Archeological Resources
Sect 4.3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Water Quality
Sect 4.3.3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Floodplain
Sect 4.7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Hazardous Materials
Sect 4.6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Air
Sect 4.8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Noise
Sect 4.4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Biological Environment (Wetland, Wildlife, T&E Species, Natural Communities)
Sect 4.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Construction Impacts
Sect 5.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Indirect Impacts
Sect 6.0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Cumulative Impacts
N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	X	Section 4(f) / 6(f) - if applicable
Comments:					
Core Team check if information is:			Supporting Documentation		
Included as Appendix	Not applicable	Present in File			
The appendices and/or file of record must contain the following items/documents					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exhibits		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Technical Reports: all technical reports as identified above have been completed, approved, and are present in the project file		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Agency coordination/consultation documentation in appendices and/or file		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 4(f) Evaluation, Programmatic Section 4(f) Evaluation, Section 4(f) De Minimis Determination, or Section 6(f) Evaluation		
Comments:					
Yes	No	Not applicable	Document Consistency (Core Team Completes)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quantitative consistency between technical reports and Environmental Document (traffic, ROWs, etc.)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quantitative consistency within Environmental Document		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Map and figure consistency (project limits, street names, key, etc.)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Referenced documents located in File of Record and/or Appendices		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Acronym identification and consistency		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibits and appendices listed in the Table of Contents are present in the Environmental Document		
Comments:					

Completed By:

Sandra J. Williams
Preparer (Print Name)

Sandra J. Williams
Signature

12-20-16
Date

Sandra J. Williams
District Core Team Member (Print Name)

Sandra J. Williams
Signature

12-20-16
Date

Scott Ford
ENV Core Team Member (Print Name)

Scott Ford
Signature

12-21-16
Date

Checklist

TxDOT Environmental Affairs Division
Release Date: February 2015



Environmental Document Certification Form

Project Name: I-35E / US 67

Control Section Job Number (CSJ): 0442-02-088

Document Type: EA EIS FONSI ROD

Document Stage: Draft Final

This checklist shall be used to certify that an environmental document is ready for public review (draft documents) or approval (final documents).

When all required items are satisfactorily completed, the District and ENV representatives will sign this form indicating the environmental document is certified and may proceed to public review or is ready for final approval.

This project meets all the following requirements:

- A. A project scope has been completed for the project.
- B. The document has been determined to be administratively complete.
- C. The document meets the requirements of technical review (43 TAC §2.49) as required to date.
- D. The required public participation has been completed as required to date.
- E. All consultation and coordination required for the environmental decision is complete and appropriately documented (for final environmental documents).
- F. Legal Review or Legal Sufficiency Review has been completed, as required.
- G. Current funding has been reviewed, and is as follows:

Mix of Federal/State/Local funding State-only funding Date Verified: Dec 20, 2016

Comments: <Enter Comments>

Signed:  Date: 12-20-16
District Core Team Member

Print Name: Sandra J. Williams

Signed:  Date: 12-21-16
ENV Core Team Member

Print Name: Scott Ford

Approved for Circulation (EAs only):

Signed: _____ Date: _____
Project Delivery Director

Print Name: _____

2017-2020 STIP		07/2016 Revision: Approved 12/19/2016						
DISTRICT	MPO	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	YOE COST	
DALLAS	DALLAS-FORT WORTH	DALLAS	0442-02-088	IH 35E	C,E,ENG,R,ACQ,UTL	DALLAS	\$ 562,237,446	
LIMITS FROM US 67		PROJECT SPONSOR TXDOT-DALLAS						
LIMITS TO IH 30		REVISION DATE 07/2016						
PROJECT CONV 2 REV HOV TO 2 EXP LN FROM IH 30 TO COLORADO; RECONST & WIDEN 8 TO 10 GP L		MPO PROJ NUM 54008						
DESCR N & RECONST 1 REV HOV TO 2 REV EXP LN FROM COLORADO TO US 67; RECONST 4/6 LN CON		FUNDING CAT(S)						
T FRTG RD FROM COLORADO TO MARSALIS; RECONST 4/6 TO 2/6 LN DIS FRTG RD FROM MARS								
ALIS TO US 67								
REMARKS CMAQ FOR SIDEWALKS, BIKE LANES, TEXAS U-TURN BRIDGE		PROJECT HISTORY						
P7 S, & INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS (5) ONLY; SOUTHERN G								
ATEWAY CORRIDOR; RTR121-DA1								
TOTAL PROJECT COST INFORMATION			AUTHORIZED FUNDING BY CATEGORY/SHARE					
PREL ENG \$	65,711,462	CATEGORY	FEDERAL	STATE	REGIONAL	LOCAL	LC	TOTAL
ROW PURCH \$	49,816,475	2M	\$ 32,000,000	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 40,000,000
CONSTR \$	446,709,509	3RTR121	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 20,000,000
CONST ENG \$	14,847,301	5	\$ 38,647,738	\$ 9,661,935	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 48,309,673
CONTING \$	621,514	7	\$ 10,551,818	\$ 2,637,955	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 13,189,773
INDIRECT \$	19,819,420	11	\$ 208,000,000	\$ 52,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 260,000,000
BOND FIN \$	0	12	\$ 112,590,400	\$ 28,147,600	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 140,738,000
PT CHG ORD \$	0	S102	\$ 32,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 40,000,000
TOTAL CST \$	597,525,681	TOTAL	\$ 433,789,956	\$ 104,447,490	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 562,237,446

2017-2020 STIP		07/2016 Revision: Approved 12/19/2016						
DISTRICT	MPO	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	YOE COST	
DALLAS	DALLAS-FORT WORTH	DALLAS	0353-05-089	SP 244	E,ENG	DALLAS	\$ 50,000	
LIMITS FROM ON NORTHWEST HIGHWAY (SPUR 244)		PROJECT SPONSOR TXDOT-DALLAS						
LIMITS TO AT PLANO RD		REVISION DATE 07/2016						
PROJECT TRAFFIC SIGNAL AND PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS		MPO PROJ NUM 537						
DESCR		FUNDING CAT(S)						
REMARKS P7		PROJECT HISTORY						
TOTAL PROJECT COST INFORMATION			AUTHORIZED FUNDING BY CATEGORY/SHARE					
PREL ENG \$	50,000	CATEGORY	FEDERAL	STATE	REGIONAL	LOCAL	LC	TOTAL
ROW PURCH \$	0	SBPE	\$ 0	\$ 50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 50,000
CONSTR \$	522,500	TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 50,000
CONST ENG \$	100,593	PHASES						
CONTING \$	117,358							
INDIRECT \$	66,056							
BOND FIN \$	0							
PT CHG ORD \$	0							
TOTAL CST \$	856,507							

2017-2020 STIP		07/2016 Revision: Approved 12/19/2016						
DISTRICT	MPO	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	YOE COST	
DALLAS	DALLAS-FORT WORTH	DENTON	0353-02-053	SH 114	R,ACQ	ROANOKE	\$ 100,000	
LIMITS FROM AT UP RAILROAD UNDERPASS		PROJECT SPONSOR TXDOT-DALLAS						
LIMITS TO IN ROANOKE DOT NO 795 342V		REVISION DATE 07/2016						
PROJECT REPLACE RAILROAD UNDERPASS		MPO PROJ NUM 51060						
DESCR		FUNDING CAT(S)						
REMARKS CAT 2M FUNDS ARE PROP 1 FUNDS		PROJECT HISTORY						
P7								
TOTAL PROJECT COST INFORMATION			AUTHORIZED FUNDING BY CATEGORY/SHARE					
PREL ENG \$	400,000	CATEGORY	FEDERAL	STATE	REGIONAL	LOCAL	LC	TOTAL
ROW PURCH \$	100,000	S102	\$ 80,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 100,000
CONSTR \$	9,065,800	TOTAL	\$ 80,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 100,000
CONST ENG \$	514,031	PHASES						
CONTING \$	104,541							
INDIRECT \$	391,420							
BOND FIN \$	0							
PT CHG ORD \$	0							
TOTAL CST \$	10,575,792							

STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
DALLAS-FORT WORTH MPO - HIGHWAY PROJECTS
FY 2017

2017-2020 STIP		07/2016 Revision: Not Approved 12/19/2016					
DISTRICT	MPO	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	YOE COST
DALLAS	DALLAS-FORT WORTH	DENTON	0918-46-288	VA	T	VARIOUS	\$ 895,050
LIMITS FROM REGIONAL VANPOOL PROGRAM		PROJECT SPONSOR DCTA					
LIMITS TO		REVISION DATE 07/2016					
PROJECT OPERATE A VANPOOL SUBSIDY INITIATIVE FOR COMMUTERS TRAVELING LONG DISTANCES AND		MPO PROJ NUM 11639					
DESCR IN AREAS WITH LITTLE OR NO FIXED ROUTE SERVICE		FUNDING CAT(S)					
REMARKS P7		PROJECT HISTORY					
TOTAL PROJECT COST INFORMATION		AUTHORIZED FUNDING BY CATEGORY/SHARE					
PREL ENG \$	0	CATEGORY	FEDERAL	STATE	REGIONAL	LOCAL	LC
ROW PURCH \$	0	7	\$ 313,267	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 581,783	\$ 0
CONSTR \$	895,050	TOTAL	\$ 313,267	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 581,783	\$ 0
CONST ENG \$	0	COST OF APPROVED PHASES					
CONTING \$	0	\$ 895,050					
INDIRECT \$	0						
BOND FIN \$	0						
PT CHG ORD \$	0						
TOTAL CST \$	895,050						

2017-2020 STIP		07/2016 Revision: Approved 12/19/2016					
DISTRICT	MPO	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	YOE COST
DALLAS	DALLAS-FORT WORTH	DALLAS	0261-03-030	US 67	C,E,ENG,R,ACQ,UTL	DALLAS	\$ 98,987,794
LIMITS FROM IH 20		PROJECT SPONSOR TXDOT-DALLAS					
LIMITS TO IH 35E		REVISION DATE 07/2016					
PROJECT WIDEN 4 TO 6 MAINLANES, RECONSTRUCT EXISTING 2 LANE CONCURRENT TO 1 REVERSIBLE E		MPO PROJ NUM 54085					
DESCR XPRESS LANE		FUNDING CAT(S)					
REMARKS SOUTHERN GATEWAY CORRIDOR; CMAQ FOR INTERSECTION IN PROJECT CLARIFIED FROM LIMIT AS IH 20 VS. SOUTH OF IH 20; RELATED		HISTORY TO TIP 54008/CSJ 0442-02-088					
P7 PROMOVEMENTS, SIDEWALKS, BIKE LANES, & TEXAS UTURN BRIDGE; RTR121-DA1							
TOTAL PROJECT COST INFORMATION		AUTHORIZED FUNDING BY CATEGORY/SHARE					
PREL ENG \$	12,911,451	CATEGORY	FEDERAL	STATE	REGIONAL	LOCAL	LC
ROW PURCH \$	6,503,800	2M	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
CONSTR \$	79,572,543	3RTR121	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 19,580,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
CONST ENG \$	2,644,249	5	\$ 4,550,662	\$ 1,137,665	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
CONTING \$	110,690	7	\$ 30,775,574	\$ 7,693,893	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
INDIRECT \$	3,529,765	12	\$ 20,200,000	\$ 5,050,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
BOND FIN \$	0	TOTAL	\$ 63,526,236	\$ 15,881,558	\$ 19,580,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
PT CHG ORD \$	0	COST OF APPROVED PHASES					
TOTAL CST \$	105,272,498	\$ 98,987,794					

2017-2020 STIP		07/2016 Revision: Approved 12/19/2016					
DISTRICT	MPO	COUNTY	CSJ	HWY	PHASE	CITY	YOE COST
DALLAS	DALLAS-FORT WORTH	DALLAS	0196-03-269	IH 35E	C,E,ENG	DALLAS	\$ 2,762,760
LIMITS FROM IH 30		PROJECT SPONSOR TXDOT-DALLAS					
LIMITS TO REUNION BLVD		REVISION DATE 07/2016					
PROJECT CONVERT EXISTING 2 REVERSIBLE HOV LANES TO 2 REVERSIBLE EXPRESS LANES		MPO PROJ NUM 55093					
DESCR		FUNDING CAT(S)					
REMARKS SOUTHERN GATEWAY CORRIDOR		PROJECT CLARIFIED RECONSTRUCT TO CONVERT					
P7		HISTORY					
TOTAL PROJECT COST INFORMATION		AUTHORIZED FUNDING BY CATEGORY/SHARE					
PREL ENG \$	360,360	CATEGORY	FEDERAL	STATE	REGIONAL	LOCAL	LC
ROW PURCH \$	0	5	\$ 249,600	\$ 62,400	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
CONSTR \$	2,402,400	7	\$ 1,960,608	\$ 490,152	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
CONST ENG \$	161,228	TOTAL	\$ 2,210,208	\$ 552,552	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
CONTING \$	6,749	COST OF APPROVED PHASES					
INDIRECT \$	215,221	\$ 2,762,760					
BOND FIN \$	0						
PT CHG ORD \$	0						
TOTAL CST \$	3,145,958						

PHASE: C = CONSTRUCTION, E = ENGINEERING, R = ROW, T = TRANSFER

DISCLAIMER:

The use of this standard is governed by the "Texas Engineering Practice Act". No warranty of any kind is made by TxDOT for any purpose whatsoever. TxDOT assumes no responsibility for the conversion of this standard to other formats or for incorrect results or damage resulting from its use.

Notes To Designer:

- 1. Do not alter Sheet Design or Font style, size or weight - match text attributes.
2. If additional space is needed for a numbered section, fence and adjust sections up or down as needed for proportioning and readability but do not relocate from its relative position.
3. All areas should be addressed thoroughly and verify the necessary pay items are set up to support actions needed.

Filed Out: XX/XX/XXXX
Prepared by: Name/Section

I. STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN-CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 402

TPDES TXR 150000: Stormwater Discharge Permit or Construction General Permit required for projects with 1 or more acres disturbed soil. Projects with any disturbed soil must protect for erosion and sedimentation in accordance with Item 506.

List adjacent MS 4 Operator(s) that receive discharges from this project. They need to be notified prior to construction activities.
(Note: Leave blank only if no adjacent MS 4 Operator(s) are affected.)

- 1. Mainstem/Phase II Lissa Shepard/Senior Bridge Engineer & Floodplain Manager
411 Elm Street, 4th Floor, Dallas, TX 75202
2. Mainstem/Phase I Jennifer Cottingham/Assistant Director, Dallas, TX
3. East Fork/Phase I Robert Dieber/Environmental Specialist
4777 E. Hwy 80, Mesquite, TX 75150-6643

Action Number: [] No Action Required [X] Required Action

- 1. Prevent stormwater pollution by controlling erosion and sedimentation in accordance with TPDES Permit TXR 150000.
2. Comply with the SWP and revise when necessary to control pollution or required by the Engineer.
3. Post Construction Site Notice (CSN) with SWP information on or near the site, accessible to the public and TCEQ, EPA or other inspectors.
4. When Contractor project specific locations (PSL's) increase disturbed soil area to 5 acres or more, submit NOI to TCEQ and the Engineer.

II. WORK IN OR NEAR STREAMS, WATERBODIES AND WETLANDS CLEAN WATER ACT SECTIONS 401 AND 404

USACE Permit required for filling, dredging, excavating or other work in any water bodies, rivers, creeks, streams, wetlands or wet areas. No equipment is allowed in any stream channel below the ordinary High Water Mark except on approved temporary stream crossings or drill pods.

The Contractor must adhere to all of the terms and conditions associated with the following permit(s):

- [] No Permit Required
[X] Nationwide Permit 14 - PCN not Required (less than 1/10th acre waters or wetlands affected)
[] Nationwide Permit 14 - PCN Required (1/10 to <1/2 acre, 1/3 in tidal waters)
[] Individual 404 Permit Required
[] Other Nationwide Permit Required: NWP# _____

Required Actions: List Waters of the US Permit applies to, location in project and check Best Management Practices planned to control erosion, sedimentation and post-project TSS.

- 1. Woody Branch - US 67 STA 570+50 - Bridge Construction
2. Fiveville Creek - US STA 693+50 - Bridge Construction
3. Tributary to Cedar Creek - 135E STA 678+00 - Bridge Construction
4. Cedar Creek (South of Clarendon Dr) - 135E STA 682+00 - Bridge Construction
5. Cedar Creek (Farming Ave and Clarendon Dr - STA 745+00 - Construction of pipe and associated outfall.

The elevation of the ordinary high water marks of any areas requiring work to be performed in the waters of the US requiring the use of a nationwide permit can be found on the Bridge Layouts.

Best Management Practices for applicable 401 General Conditions:
(Note: If CORP Permit not required, do not check boxes.)

Table with columns for Erosion, Sedimentation, and Post-Construction TSS. Includes checkboxes for various practices like Temporary Vegetation, Silt Fence, Rock Berm, etc.

III. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Refer to TxDOT Standard Specifications in the event historical issues or archeological artifacts are found during construction. Upon discovery of archeological artifacts (bones, burnt rock, flint, pottery, etc.) cease work in the immediate area and contact the Engineer immediately.

Action Number: [] No Action Required [X] Required Action

- 1. Preserve native vegetation to the extent practical. Contractor must adhere to Construction Specification Requirements Specs 162, 164, 192, 193, 506, 730, 751 & 752 in order to comply with requirements for invasive species, beneficial landscaping and tree/brush removal commitments.

IV. VEGETATION RESOURCES

Preserve native vegetation to the extent practical. Contractor must adhere to Construction Specification Requirements Specs 162, 164, 192, 193, 506, 730, 751 & 752 in order to comply with requirements for invasive species, beneficial landscaping and tree/brush removal commitments.

Action Number: [] No Action Required [X] Required Action

V. FEDERAL LISTED, PROPOSED THREATENED, ENDANGERED SPECIES, CRITICAL HABITAT, STATE LISTED SPECIES, CANDIDATE SPECIES AND MIGRATORY BIRDS TREATY ACT.

Refer to TxDOT Standard Specifications in the event historical issues or archeological artifacts are found during construction. Upon discovery of archeological artifacts (bones, burnt rock, flint, pottery, etc.) cease work in the immediate area and contact the Engineer immediately.

Action Number: [] No Action Required [X] Required Action

Action Numbered:
1. Vegetation Resources/No action required: standard language applies.
2. Listed species/Action Required
a. Give notice that maybe present on site. b. Texas pigtoe, Louisiana pigtoe, sandbank pocketbook, appropriate habitat locations 6 months prior to construction. c. Western burrowing owl may be present on site. See note below and MBLA language for Bird BMPs. d. Wood stork may be present on site. e. Timber/canebrake rattlesnake may be present on site. f. Texas garter snake may be present on site. g. Texas garbler snake may be present on site.
3. Additional directions for the contractor per Early Coordination with TPMD
a. This can go under the Species section if you'd like.
b. The contractor shall be instructed to avoid harming wildlife within the entire project area.
c. The contractor shall be instructed to provide the use of wildlife-friendly erosion and sediment control BMPs to stabilize disturbed areas where applicable.
d. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Standard language applies.

If any of the listed species are observed, cease work in the immediate area, do not disturb species or habitat and contact the Engineer immediately. The work may not remove active nests from bridges and other structures during nesting season of the birds associated with the nests. If structures or sinkholes are discovered, cease work in the immediate area, and contact the Engineer immediately.

Special Note: The Migratory Bird Act of 1918 states that it is unlawful to kill, capture, collect, possess, buy, sell, trade or transport any migratory bird, nest, eggs, or eggs in shell, without a federal permit issued in accordance with the Act's provisions and regulations. The contractor must remove all old migratory bird nests from any structures where nests would be done from October 1 to February 15. In addition, the contractor would be prohibited to remove migratory birds from existing nests (to be removed by October 1). In the event that migratory birds are encountered on-site during project construction efforts to avoid adverse impacts on protected birds, active nests, eggs, and/or young would be advised.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Table with columns for Abbreviations and Full Names. Includes BAP: Best Management Practice, CDP: Construction General Permit, DSHS: Texas Department of State Health Services, etc.

VI. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS OR CONTAMINATION ISSUES

General (applies to all projects):
Comply with the Hazard Communication Act (the Act) for personnel who will be working with hazardous materials by conducting safety meetings prior to beginning construction and making workers aware of potential hazards in the workplace. Ensure that all workers are provided with personal protective equipment appropriate for any hazardous materials used. Obtain and keep on-site Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) for all hazardous products used on the project, which may include, but are not limited to the following categories: Paints, acids, solvents, asphalt products, chemical additives, fuels and concrete curing compounds or additives. Provide protected storage, off bore ground and covered, for products which may be hazardous. Maintain product labeling as required by the Act. Maintain an adequate supply of on-site spill response materials, as indicated in the MSDS. In the event of a spill, take actions to mitigate the spill as indicated in the MSDS. In accordance with safe work practices, and contact the District Spill Coordinator immediately. The Contractor shall be responsible for the proper containment and cleanup of all product spills.
Contract the Engineer if any of the following are detected:
* Dead or distressed vegetation (not identified as normal)
* Trash piles, drums, containers, barrels, etc.
* Undesirable smells or odors
* Evidence of leaching or seepage of substances
Does the project involve any bridge class structure rehabilitation(s) or replacement(s) (bridge class structures not including box culverts)?
[X] Yes [] No

If "No", then no further action is required.
If "Yes", then TxDOT is responsible for completing asbestos assessment/inspection.
Are the results of the asbestos inspection positive (is asbestos present)?
[] Yes [X] No

If "Yes", then TxDOT must retain a DSHS licensed asbestos consultant to assist with the notification, develop abatement/mitigation procedures, and perform management activities as necessary. The notification form to DSHS must be postmarked at least 15 working days prior to scheduled demolition.
If "No", then TxDOT is still required to notify DSHS 15 working days prior to any scheduled demolition.

In either case, the Contractor is responsible for providing the details for abatement activities and/or demolition with careful coordination between the Engineer and asbestos consultant in order to minimize construction delays and subsequent claims. Any other evidence indicating possible hazardous materials or contamination discovered on site. Hazardous Materials or Contamination Issues Specific to this Project:
[] No Action Required [X] Required Action

Action Numbered:
1. Fourteen sites were identified as high-risk for encountering contamination during construction activities. Additional assessment of these sites may be required to determine possible risk prior to project construction.
2. AEM and LBP testing will be performed on existing bridge and building structures to be removed as feasible. TxDOT would notify DSHS of the bridge demolition 10-working days prior to the scheduled demolition.
3. Other Environmental Issues such as Edwards Aquifer District, etc.)
[] No Action Required [X] Required Action

Action Numbered:
1. Any unexcused hazardous materials and/or petroleum contamination encountered during construction would be handled according to applicable federal and state regulation per TxDOT Standard Specifications.
2. The proposed project would result in a traffic noise impact with feasible and reasonable abatement. Thirty-four traffic noise barrier segments are proposed to abate traffic noise. In accordance with TxDOT guidelines for analysis and abatement of roadway traffic noise, polling already occurred and that a total of thirty-two barrier segments would be constructed as part of the project.
3. Due to the proximity of the Dallas Executive Airport along US 67 (on Marilla Rd), coordination with FAA to ensure compliance with all FAA requirements is required.
4. Because the proposed noise barrier at the zoo would be partially located on top of a retaining wall along the new northbound frontage road, lining of the new retaining wall and northbound frontage road would depend on the construction schedule for the new retaining wall and northbound frontage road. TxDOT has been and will continue communicating with the Dallas Zoo to coordinate construction timing of the noise barrier at the zoo.

GENERAL NOTE:
Any change orders and/or deviations from the final design must be reported to the Engineer prior to commencement of construction activities, as additional environmental clearance may be required.

ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS, ISSUES AND COMMITMENTS (EPIC)
Texas Department of Transportation Dallas District
Table with columns for State, District, County, Job, Sheet No. Includes Texas, Dallas, Dallas, Job, 1-35E/US 67.